UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,987	05/05/2006	Brent E. Green	7865-218 MIS:jb	7282
24223 SIM & MCBUI	7590 05/29/200 RNEY	9	EXAM	IINER
330 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			MI, QIUWEN	
6TH FLOOR TORONTO, OI	N M5G 1R7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/517,987	GREEN ET AL.				
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QIUWEN MI	1655				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>QIUWEN MI</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Michael Stewart</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 May 2009.						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)⊠ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26</u> .						
ldentification of prior art discussed: <i>Diosady et al, Murray, and Jones</i> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the diafiltration step in Diosady et al as it does not relate to canola protein isolation. The Examiner will consider Applicant's position after Applicant responds to the previous Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Qiuwen Mi/ Examiner, Art Unit 1655						